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The court emphasized that RSI's letter to G.I. provided written notice of the damage and an intent to hold G.I. liable. \textit{Id.} at 907. Furthermore, the court observed that G.I. investigated the claim. \textit{Id.} Because the purpose of the written notice is to provide the carrier with sufficient information to make an investigation, the court held that this is all that \textit{Taisho} and \textit{Culver} require. \textit{Insurance Co.}, 1 F.3d at 907. Although RSI's letter did not specify the amount of damages, the court stated that it might still satisfy a strict interpretation of the regulations because the amount of damages was readily ascertainable by the information in the letter. \textit{Id.} Finally, the court ruled that a written notice of claim which identifies the shipment, contains a clear intention to hold the carrier liable, and provides a reasonable estimate of the damage is all that is required to enable the carrier to make an investigation and thus satisfy the purpose of the regulations. \textit{Id.} (citing \textit{Culver}, 782 F.2d at 1469).
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