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allows for a released tortfeasor to be free from any liability to any other tortfeasor for contribution, provided that a good faith release was given by the injured party. A new subdivision (c) provides that a tortfeasor who procures his own release waives his right to contribution from any other tortfeasor.

**JUDICIARY LAW**

**Judiciary Law § 148-a: Legislature creates a medical malpractice part in each judicial district.**

The newly added section 148-a of the Judiciary Law provides that the supreme court in each judicial district is to have an additional part to deal exclusively with the disposition of medical malpractice suits. Each part will consist of a three-member panel composed of a presiding justice appointed by the appellate division, an attorney, and a physician. The presiding justice will formulate a list of attorneys with trial experience. Each individual on the list will serve on the panel on a rotating basis, service being confined to one case at a time. A similar method will be employed with respect to the medical panel member.

The purpose of the new system is to encourage the pre-trial disposi-
tion of malpractice claims. The panel will review all evidence concerning the malpractice action, and will conduct an informal hearing wherein both parties will be represented by counsel. After presentation of all evidence and statements, an appropriate order will be entered if an agreement between the parties to the action can be achieved. In the event the panel is unable to arrive at a satisfactory disposition, the case will be remanded to its regular position on the court calendar.

**SUMMARY PROCEEDING**

*Summary proceeding: Applicability of the “three-month rule” in landlord’s action for arrears in rent.*

The summary proceeding, as provided by article 7 of the RPAPL, offers the landlord a simple, inexpensive, and expeditious remedy against a holdover or non-rent paying tenant. Although the original remedy merely contemplated the regaining of possession of the demised premises, the statute now expressly provides for a recovery of rent arrears by the landlord. With this enlargement of the landlord’s rights, however, has come a corresponding increase in the potential hardships a tenant may suffer at the hands of his lessor.
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