

December 2012

CPLR 7503(a): Service of an Application To Compel Arbitration upon an Attorney by Mail Is Proper if an Action Is Already Pending

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview>

This Recent Development in New York Law is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in St. John's Law Review by an authorized editor of St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact selbyc@stjohns.edu.

CPLR 7503(a): Service of an application to compel arbitration upon an attorney by mail is proper if an action is already pending.

In *Olsen & Chapman Construction Co. v. Village of Gazenovia*,²⁰⁰ a contractor sought a declaratory judgment as to whether certain items constituted additional work under the terms of his contract. The defendant thereupon moved to compel arbitration by mailing an order to show cause to the plaintiff's attorney. Since the application to compel arbitration was made within a pending action, the court ruled that this mode of service²⁰¹ was proper.

Inasmuch as arbitration is no longer deemed a special proceeding,²⁰² a great deal of confusion surrounds the service of the first application arising out of the arbitrable controversy.²⁰³ Indeed, this initiatory-interlocutory papers distinction has received continuous attention in the *Survey*.²⁰⁴ If an action is not pending when the first application is made, jurisdiction over the defendant must be acquired. Hence, exacting compliance with the service requirements of the CPLR²⁰⁵ is mandated.²⁰⁶ However, when an action has already been commenced, the first application is classified a motion.²⁰⁷ Therefore, as illustrated by *Olsen*, resort to the rules governing the service of motion papers is undoubtedly proper.²⁰⁸

CPLR 7503(c): Ten-day statute of limitations is satisfied by posting papers by certified mail on tenth day after receipt of a notice of intention to arbitrate.

Under CPLR 7503(c), the recipient of a properly drafted notice of intention to arbitrate²⁰⁹ must apply to stay arbitration within ten

²⁰⁰ 33 App. Div. 2d 929, 306 N.Y.S.2d 560 (3d Dep't 1970).

²⁰¹ Service of an order to show cause in lieu of a notice of motion is authorized by CPLR 2214. Service by mail upon an attorney is authorized by CPLR 2103.

²⁰² Compare CPA 1458 with CPLR 7502(a).

²⁰³ CPLR 7502(a) provides: "A special proceeding shall be used to bring before a court the first application arising out of an arbitrable controversy which is not made by motion in a pending action."

²⁰⁴ See, e.g., *The Quarterly Survey*, 44 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 760, 158, 157 (1969); *The Quarterly Survey*, 43 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 532, 344, 70 (1968).

²⁰⁵ CPLR 304

²⁰⁶ See, e.g., *Graffagnino v. MVAIC*, 48 Misc. 2d 441, 264 N.Y.S.2d 483 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County 1963).

²⁰⁷ CPLR 7502(a).

²⁰⁸ In addition to service by mail upon an attorney, the three-day extension authorized by CPLR 2103(b) would be available.

²⁰⁹ The notice of intention to arbitrate must contain the name and address of the claimant and must specify the agreement pursuant to which arbitration is sought. Also, notice must be given the recipient that unless an application is made within ten days after such service, he will be precluded from raising the "threshold" questions. CPLR 7503(c).