

The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice Table of Contnets

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview>

Recommended Citation

St. John's Law Review (1970) "The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice Table of Contnets," *St. John's Law Review*: Vol. 45 : No. 2 , Article 8.

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview/vol45/iss2/8>

This Recent Development in New York Law is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in St. John's Law Review by an authorized editor of St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact lasalar@stjohns.edu.

ERRATUM

Due to a rearrangement of pages during page proof, the *Survey* table of contents in the preceding issue inaccurately listed the pages on which each of the subtopics began. The error may be corrected by subtracting nine (9) from each of the numbers listed in the table.

THE QUARTERLY SURVEY OF NEW YORK PRACTICE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION	
<i>Art. 6, § 28: First Department establishes new procedure for calendar disposition</i>	344
ARTICLE 3 — JURISDICTION AND SERVICE, APPEARANCE AND CHOICE OF COURT	
<i>CPLR 302(a)(1): Cases illustrate elusiveness of "transacts business" criteria</i>	345
<i>CPLR 302(a)(3)(ii): 1 percent of gross income does not constitute "substantial revenue from interstate commerce"</i>	348
<i>CPLR 311: Court validates improper service where corporation had deceived process server</i>	350
<i>CPLR 320(b): Conduct inconsistent with a desire to raise jurisdictional objection deemed an appearance</i>	351
ARTICLE 6 — JOINDER OF CLAIMS, CONSOLIDATION AND SEVERANCE	
<i>CPLR 602: Court reestablishes prerequisites to consolidation</i>	353
ARTICLE 21 — PAPERS	
<i>CPLR 2103(a): Licensing statute upheld by lower court</i>	353
ARTICLE 23 — SUBPOENAS, OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS	
<i>CPLR 2303: Propriety of substituted service of subpoena confirmed</i>	354
ARTICLE 31 — DISCLOSURE	
<i>CPLR 3101: Pretrial examination permitted in matrimonial action</i>	356
<i>CPLR 3130: Interrogatories prohibited in wrongful death action based on breach of warranty</i>	356
ARTICLE 32 — ACCELERATED JUDGMENT	
<i>CPLR 3212: Summary judgment granted despite plaintiff's failure to allege freedom from contributory negligence</i>	358
<i>CPLR 3212(e): Partial summary judgment denied in personal injury action</i>	359
<i>CPLR 3213: Bank and mortgage instrument deemed not to constitute an instrument for the payment of money only</i>	359
<i>CPLR 3216: Case illustrates that rule will be enforced</i>	361
ARTICLE 41 — TRIAL BY A JURY	
<i>CPLR 4101: Defendant entitled to jury trial in derivative action where money damages are sought</i>	362
ARTICLE 52 — ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY JUDGMENTS	
<i>CPLR 5231: Employer estopped by failure to promptly object to improperly served income execution</i>	363
ARTICLE 75 — ARBITRATION	
<i>CPLR 7502(b): Federal arbitration in the state courts—Prima Paint, Erie & Rederi</i>	365
<i>CPLR 7502(b): Court refers "threshold question" to arbitration</i>	367

DOMESTIC RELATIONS LAW

DRL 245: *Contempt unavailable until foreign judgment has been entered in New York* 369

SURROGATE'S COURT PROCEDURE ACT

SCPA 502(7): *Case illustrates limited of trial court* 370

FORUM NON CONVENIENS

Forum non conveniens: Action dismissed despite New York residence of corporate codefendant 371
Forum non conveniens: Court recognizes that sister state is in more advantageous position to determine best interests of child in custody proceeding 372

Introduction*

Several interesting contrasts are presented in this issue of the *Survey*. In *Aquascutum of London, Inc. v. S.S. American Champion* the Second Circuit liberally interpreted CPLR 302(a)(1) as encompassing a London-based freight corporation whose activities were deemed to approximate more closely those of an ocean carrier than a shipper; in *Ferrante Equipment Co. v. Lasker-Goldman Corp.* the Court of Appeals adopted the conservative attitude toward jurisdiction, often expressed by the lower courts, in dismissing a fourth-party action against a New Jersey indemnitor whose conduct affected work to be performed in New York. In *Anonymous v. Anonymous* the court employed the doctrine of *forum non conveniens* in a traditional fashion to dismiss a pending action; in *Pharo v. Piedmont Aviation, Inc.* a similar result issued, but only because of the First Department's un-

* The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the *Survey*:

New York Civil Practice Law and RulesCPLR
 New York Civil Practice ActCPA
 New York Rules of Civil PracticeRCP
 New York City Civil Court ActCCA
 Uniform District Court ActUDCA
 Uniform City Court ActUCCA
 Real Property Actions and Proceedings LawRPAPL
 Domestic Relations LawDRL

WEINSTEIN, KORN & MILLER, NEW YORK CIVIL PRACTICE (1969)WK&M

The Biannual Survey of New York Practice *The Biannual Survey*
The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice *The Quarterly Survey*

Extremely valuable in understanding the CPLR are the five reports of the Advisory Committee on Practice and Procedure. They are contained in the following legislative documents and will be cited as follows.

1957 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 6(b)FIRST REP.
 1958 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 13SECOND REP.
 1959 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 17THIRD REP.
 1960 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 80FOURTH REP.
 1961 FINAL REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
 ON PRACTICE AND PROCEDUREFINAL REP.

Also valuable are the two joint reports of the Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and Means Committees:

1961 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 15FIFTH REP.
 1962 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 8SIXTH REP.

orthodox approach. Finally, in *Ludwig Mowinckels Rederi v. Dow Chemical Co.* the Court of Appeals was called upon to determine whether it was the court or the arbitrator who should decide a time-limitations objection; in *In re Textiles, Inc.* the supreme court simply referred the limitations defense to the arbitrator, notwithstanding a timely application for a stay of arbitration.

Among the more progressive decisions reported herein are *Belo-fatto v. Marsen Realty Corp.* and *Hochberg v. Hochberg*. In the former, the court refused to vacate service upon a corporation where there was reason to presume that the process server had been intentionally deceived. In the latter, it was recognized that public policy grounds for denying parties to a matrimonial action the advantages of pre-trial discovery were no longer viable. The reader's attention is also directed to the order of the First Department which establishes Individual Calendar Parts in the Supreme Court of New York County. Foreseeably, the new mode of calendar disposition will lead to an increase in judicial efficiency and simultaneously to a decrease in the time and effort expended by the practitioner.

The *Survey* sets forth in each installment those cases which are deemed to make the most significant contribution to New York's procedural law. Due to limitations of space, however, many other less important, but, nevertheless, significant cases cannot be included. While few cases are exhaustively discussed, it is hoped that the *Survey* accomplishes its basic purpose, viz., to key the practitioner to significant developments in the procedural law of New York.

The Table of Contents is designed to direct the reader to those specific areas of procedural law which may be of importance to him. The various sections of the CPLR which are specifically treated in the cases are listed under their respective titles.

NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION

Art. 6, § 28: First Department establishes new procedure for calendar disposition.

Beginning on January 1, 1971, the Appellate Division, First Department, will implement a pilot project in calendar management,¹ under which five civil parts and three criminal parts of the Supreme Court, New York County, will be designated Individual Calendar Parts. There, eight judges, reflecting a cross-section of judicial experi-

¹ The full text of the First Department's order is printed in 311 N.Y.S.2d XLIX-XL (Advance sheet no. 1, July 14, 1970).