### St. John's Law Review

Volume 45, March 1971, Number 3

Article 7

## The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice Table of Contents

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview

#### NOTICE

Due to the lateness of this issue, and in order to maintain the *Survey's* position as a work of current import, the March and the May installments of this section have been combined and are contained herein.

#### III

# THE QUARTERLY SURVEY OF NEW YORK PRACTICE

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Article 2—Limitations of Time	
CPLR 203(e): Wrongful death claim deemed not to relate back to cause of action for personal injuries	502
CPLR 203(e): Notice requirement of section is not satisfied when movant seeks to change the status in which party is sued	504
CPLR 205(a): Dismissal for lack of personal jurisdiction does not bar commencement of second action where defendant has been properly served	505
incapacity prevents her appointment	506 507
ARTICLE 3 — JURISDICTION AND SERVICE, APPEARANCE AND CHOICE OF COURT	
CPLR 302(a)(3)(ii): Case illustrates factors to be considered when determining whether a defendant has derived "substantial revenue from interstate or inter-	
national commerce"	509 511 512
Article 10 — Parties Generally	
CPLR 1005: Use of class action continues to be restricted by the courts CPLR 1007: Case illustrates Mendel's effect on third-party claims	515 517
ARTICLE 30 — REMEDIES AND PLEADINGS	
CPLR 3025(b): Practice of granting leave to amend pleadings without inquiry into the merits of the cause of action as amended ruled no longer tolerable	518
Article 31 — Disclosure	
CPLR 3101: Court recognizes that public policy grounds for restricting disclosure in matrimonial actions are no longer viable	519
CPLR 3101(a)(4): Satisfaction of section 17 of Court of Claims Act automatically satisfies "special circumstances" requirement	520
ARTICLE 32 — ACCELERATED JUDGMENT	
Collateral Estoppel: Prior judgment establishing freedom from negligence does not ipso facto establish freedom from contributory negligence in second ac-	
tion	521
	522
ARTICLE 52 — ENFORCEMENT OF MONEY JUDGMENTS  CPLR 5208: Sheriff is permitted to sell real property after judgment debtor's	
death brovided that execution was issued before death	523

CPLR 5222: Section does not mandate the red-carpet treatment of judgment creditors  CPLR 5231(h): Section does not apply to two different employers	524 525
Article 75 — Arbitration	
CPLR 7502(b): Court refers time-limitations objection to arbitration	526
failure to apply for a stay of arbitration within ten days	528 530
New York City Civil Court Act	
GCA 404: Execution within New York City of contract to send child to summer camp is not a transaction of business	531
Uniform District Court Act	
UDCA 206: District Court's power to confirm an arbitrator's award is determined by amount of the award and not by the amount of the original claim	534
Uniform Justice Court Act	
UJCA 208: Appellate Court prevents inadvertent waiver of amount in counter- claim exceeding \$1,000	535
Introduction *	
Certain procedural provisions generate problems which con	sis-
tently elude resolution due to either the absence of appellate guida	
or the presence of factual situations which are sui generis. Illustrat	
of this phenomenon are the following issues presented in cases discus	
* * *	
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP:	LR
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP: 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un	LR
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP: 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  *The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey:	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP: 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un	LR der 
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  *The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der LR CPA RCP
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP: 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  *The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der PLR CPA RCP CGA
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey:  New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der PLR CPA RCP CGA DCA
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey:  New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der —— PLR CPA CCA CCA CCA JCA
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  *The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der PLR CPA RCP CCA DCA JCA JCA APL
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CGA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der PLR CPA RCP CCA DCA DCA DCA DCA DCA DCA DCA DCA DCA
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CGA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der PLR CPA RCP CCA DCA DCA DCA DCA APL DRL S&M rvey
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CGA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  *The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CGA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der
herein: when has a defendant transacted "business" under CP. 302(a)(1) or CCA 404; what constitutes "substantial revenue" un  * The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the Survey: New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	LR der

GPLR 302(a)(3)(ii); what instruments qualify for expeditious treatment under CPLR 3213; and, when is the last day on which an application to stay arbitration may be received by a party demanding arbitration?

Additionally, a number of recent decisions have created uncertainty in areas which appeared to be settled. Particularly important are the cases dealing with the relation back doctrine of CPLR 203(e), the definition of timely commencement under CPLR 205(a), and the personal delivery requirement of CPLR 308(1).

Lastly, special mention should be made of Murphy v. St. Charles Hospital and In re Einstoss which are discussed under CPLR sections 214(6) and 320 respectively. In Murphy the Second Department moved one step closer to a rule of discovery in medical malpractice cases. In Einstoss the Court of Appeals broadened the concept of a limited appearance and opened the door for a reevaluation of the liability of a nonresident defendant vis-à-vis his codefendants and the original plaintiff.

The Survey sets forth in each installment those cases which are deemed to make the most significant contribution to New York's procedural law. Due to limitations of space, however, many other less important, but, nevertheless, significant cases cannot be included. While few cases are exhaustively discussed, it is hoped that the Survey accomplishes its basic purpose, viz., to key the practitioner to significant developments in the procedural law of New York.

The Table of Contents is designed to direct the reader to those specific areas of procedural law which may be of importance to him. The various sections of the CPLR which are specifically treated in the cases are listed under their respective titles.

#### ARTICLE 2 - LIMITATIONS OF TIME

CPLR 203(e): Wrongful death claim deemed not to relate back to cause of action for personal injuries.

To overcome the harsh effects of *Harriss v. Tams*,¹ the Advisory Committee² recommended passage of CPLR 203(e). This section provides that a claim asserted in an amended pleading relates back, for

<sup>1 258</sup> N.Y. 229, 179 N.E. 476 (1932) (statute of limitations is tolled only as to those claims originally inserted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Second Rep. 50-51. In drafting the CPLR, the Advisory Committee intended to afford the courts the "widest possible discretion" when ruling on motions to amend or supplement pleadings. First Rep. 78. In fact, both CPLR 203(e) and CPLR 3025(b) were designed to permit even greater freedom than the federal rule, Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), (c), after which they were patterned. See 1 WK&M ¶ 203.30.