

## The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice Table of Contents

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview>

---

This Recent Development in New York Law is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in St. John's Law Review by an authorized editor of St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [selbyc@stjohns.edu](mailto:selbyc@stjohns.edu).

# THE QUARTERLY SURVEY OF NEW YORK PRACTICE

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Article 2—Limitations of Time	
CPLR 204(b): Toll will not be granted where colorable basis for arbitration is lacking.	306
CPLR 214: "Continuing practice" theory applied in attorney malpractice cases.	306
Article 3—Jurisdiction and Service, Appearance and Choice of Court	
CPLR 302(a)(1): Making promissory note payable in New York not deemed a transaction of business here.	307
CPLR 302(a)(1), CCA § 404(a): Placement of telephone order for goods with New York domiciliary not deemed a transaction of business here.	308
CPLR 302(a)(1): Preparation of separation agreement in New York not deemed a transaction of business here.	309
CPLR 308(4): Court of Appeals establishes guidelines for substituted service.	310
CPLR 308(4): Designee faced with procedural dilemma.	312
CPLR 316: Mailing requirement interpreted.	313
N.Y. Const., Art. VI, § 7(c): Court of Appeals clarifies breadth of supreme courts' jurisdiction.	313
N.Y. Const., Art. VI § 19(a): Consent of parties not needed to effect transfer to lower court.	315
N.Y. Const., Art. VI, § 19(a): Consent of surrogate not needed to effect transfer.	316
Article 4—Special Proceedings	
CPLR 403: Service or order to show cause in specified manner does not create jurisdictional predicate.	317
CPLR 410: Court suggests procedure for personal injury plaintiff with insurer's disclaimer.	318
Article 6—Joinder of Claims, Consolidation and Severance	
CPLR 602: First department clarifies distinction between joint trial and consolidation.	319
CPLR 602: Second department allows consolidation of action with special proceeding.	320

## PAGE

## Article 10 — Parties Generally

CPLR 1002: Plaintiff may rely upon any evidence introduced in case as against any defendant. 321

## Article 25 — Undertakings

CPLR 2501: Party may not be his own surety. 321

## Article 30 — Remedies and Pleading

CPLR 3012(b): Retention of belatedly served complaint held to be waiver of objection. 322

CPLR 3017(a): Fiduciary relationship necessary for an accounting. 323

## Article 31 — Disclosure

CPLR 3101(a): Court of Appeals interprets "material and necessary." 324

CPLR 3102(f): Disclosure not available when state is non-party witness 325

CPLR 3120(b): Court disallows non-party's disclosure expenses temporarily. 325

CPLR 3121: Limited by CPLR 3101(b). 326

CPLR 3121: Party may not retain adversary's expert. 327

CPLR 3126: Substantial attorney's fees imposed. 327

CPLR 3140: Interdepartmental conflict develops. 328

## Article 32 — Accelerated Judgment

CPLR 3212(e): Entry of partial summary judgment proper despite outstanding counterclaims in excess of demands in complaint. 329

CPLR 3215(h): Judgment may be entered pursuant to stipulation of settlement without notice to adversary. 330

CPLR 3216: Held unconstitutional by first department. 330

CPLR 3216: Court holds dismissal "on the merits" will not preclude interposing same fact in counterclaim or affirmative defense, because case deemed, *sui generis*. 331

Res Judicata: Jurisdictional question can be reopened unless based on litigated question of fact. 333

Collateral Estoppel: *Glaser v. Huelte* followed. 334

Collateral Estoppel: Court of Claims interprets *DeWitt* requirements. 335

Collateral Estoppel: *DeWitt* principle held inapplicable in fellow passenger situation 336

	PAGE
Article 34—Calendar Practice; Trial Preferences	
CPLR 3403: Defendant's offer of financial assistance used to block "destitution" preference.	337
CPLR 3403: " <i>Seider</i> " plaintiff denied "attachment" preference.	338
Article 50—Judgments Generally	
CPLR 5001(a): Interest from time of accident denied in breach of warranty action for personal injuries.	339
CPLR 5002: Interest allowed from date of arbitration award.	339
CPLR 5015(a): Court may vacate a judgment it has rendered.	340
Article 52—Enforcement of Money Judgments	
CPLR 5201: Court of Appeals holds <i>Seider v. Roth</i> constitutional	341
CPLR 5201: " <i>Seider</i> " action dismissed on forum non conveniens grounds.	341
CPLR 5222: Given lien value	342
Article 55—Appeals Generally	
Motion to reargue may not be used to extend time to appeal.	343
Article 63—Injunction	
CPLR 6312: Preliminary injunction may be granted despite lack of a pleading.	344
Article 75—Arbitration	
CPLR 7502; 7503: First application to court arising out of arbitrable controversy must be served in accordance with CPLR 7503.	344
CPLR 7511: Arbitrator's award difficult to set aside.	345
Article 81—Costs Generally	
CPLR 8101: Costs allowed on application to confirm arbitration award.	346
Business Corporation Law	
BCL § 307: Applicable in quasi in rem situations.	347
Domestic Relation Law	
DRL § 211: Motion to dismiss for insufficiency deemed premature until termination of conciliation proceedings or expiration of 120 days.	347
DRL § 211; § 232: Questions as to service of complaint answered.	348
General Municipal Law	
GML § 50-e: CPLR 2004 applied.	349
New York Insurance Law	
Ins. Law § 167: Party who obtained judgment in excess of policy limits is not "aggrieved" by insurer's refusal to settle within limits.	351

# THE QUARTERLY SURVEY OF NEW YORK PRACTICE

## Introduction\*

This edition of the *Survey* is highlighted by several important Court of Appeals' decisions. Among those worthy of "landmark" status are: *Seitz v. Drogheo*, and *Kagen v. Kagen*, interpreting the breadth of the supreme court's jurisdiction; *Dobkin v. Chapman*, establishing guidelines for substituted service under CPLR 308 (4); and *Allen v. Crowell-Collier Publishing Co.*, adopting an extremely liberal approach to disclosure motions. Equally worthy of careful attention is *Simpson v. Loehmann*, upholding the constitutionality of *Seider v. Roth*.

The *Survey* sets forth in each installment those cases which are deemed to make the most significant contribution to New York's procedural law. Due to limitations of space, however, many other less important, but, nevertheless, significant cases cannot be included. While few cases are exhaustively discussed, it is hoped that the *Survey* accomplishes its basic purpose, viz., to key the practitioner to significant developments in the procedural law of New York.

The Table of Contents is designed to direct the reader to those specific areas of procedural law which may be of importance to him. The various sections of the CPLR which are specifically treated in the cases are listed under their respective titles.

---

\*The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the *Survey*:

New York Civil Practice Law and Rules .....	CPLR
New York Civil Practice Act .....	CPA
New York Rules of Civil Practice .....	RCP
New York City Civil Court Act .....	CCA
Uniform District Court Act .....	UDCA
Uniform City Court Act .....	UCCA
Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law .....	RPAPL
Domestic Relations Law .....	DRL

Extremely valuable in understanding the CPLR are the five reports of the Advisory Committee on Practice and Procedure. They are contained in the following legislative documents and will be cited as follows:

1957 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 6(b) .....	FIRST REP.
1958 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 13 .....	SECOND REP.
1959 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 17 .....	THIRD REP.
1960 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 20 .....	FOURTH REP.
1961 FINAL REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE	

ON PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE .....

FINAL REP.

Also valuable are the two joint reports of the Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and Means Committees:

1961 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 15 .....	FIFTH REP.
1962 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 8 .....	SIXTH REP.