St. John's Law Review

Volume 43 Number 2 *Volume 43, October 1968, Number 2*

Article 34

CPLR 3215(h): Judgment May Be Entered Pursuant to Stipulation of Settlement Without Notice to Adversary

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview

CPLR 3215(h): Judgment may be entered pursuant to stipulation of settlement without notice to adversary.

CPLR 3215(h) provides, inter alia:

In a recent case, Star Office Supply Co. v. Galton, 126 an attorney attempted, ex parte, to secure judgment pursuant to a stipulation, but was advised by the clerk that application to the court on notice to defendant was required.

The court held that CPLR 3215(h) eliminated the necessity of a motion to the court and authorized the clerk to enter judgment directly, where there has been a failure to comply with a stipulation of settlement.¹²⁷ It was pointed out, however, that notice of motion will be required, in the "exceptional situation," where the parties have so agreed in the stipulation.

CPLR 3216: Held unconstitutional by first department.

In the midst of a standing conflict between the first and second departments, regarding the retroactivity of CPLR 3216,128

¹²⁵ Prior to the enactment of CPLR 3215(h), there existed no uniform procedure for entering judgment upon default or a stipulation of settlement. The procedure varied from county to county, some required a court order, and others did not. See 4 Weinstein, Korn & Miller, New York Civil Practice [3215.37 (1968).

^{126 56} Misc. 2d 288, 288 N.Y.S.2d 651 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County 1968).

127 It should be noted that the stipulation itself must provide for entry of judgment without further notice, the specific sum stipulated, and a basis for computation of interest. The stipulation must be accompanied by an affidavit attesting to the defendant's failure to comply with its terms, as well as a complaint showing the basis of the claim which gave rise to the stipulation. See 7B McKinney's CPLR 3215, supp. commentary 238 (1966).

¹²⁸ CPLR 3216 provides a procedure whereby defendants can move to dismiss an action for plaintiff's failure to prosecute. The appellate division, first department, has taken the position that the 1967 amendment should not be applied retroactively, whereas the second department has applied it retroactively. Compare Leonard v. Metropolitan Opera Ass'n, Inc., 28 App. Div. 2d 844, 281 N.Y.S.2d 555 (1st Dep't 1967) with Levitt v. Ford Motor Co., 29 App. Div. 2d 688, 287 N.Y.S.2d 339 (2d Dep't 1968). For a brief survey of this conflict see The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice, 42 St. John's L. Rev. 436, 456 (1968); 7B McKinney's CPLR 3216, supp. commentary 247 (1967).