

Motion to Reargue May Not Be Used to Extend Time to Appeal

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview>

Recommended Citation

St. John's Law Review (1968) "Motion to Reargue May Not Be Used to Extend Time to Appeal," *St. John's Law Review*: Vol. 43 : No. 2 , Article 49.

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview/vol43/iss2/49>

This Recent Development in New York Law is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in St. John's Law Review by an authorized editor of St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact lasalar@stjohns.edu.

presently written. A transfer for the benefit of creditors was held ineffective as to a previously executed restraining notice. In deciding the case, the court made note of the intentional deletion of the lien clause, but stated that to deny the superiority of the judgment creditor who issued the notice would be to "make a mockery of the provisions of CPLR 5222."²⁰²

The decision of the court is a great aid to plaintiffs in collecting judgments, and the practitioner should follow this case closely in the appellate courts to see if this interpretation of CPLR 5222 will receive further judicial sanction.

ARTICLE 55 — APPEALS GENERALLY

Motion to reargue may not be used to extend time to appeal.

In *Liberty National Bank & Trust Co. v. Bero Construction Corp.*,²⁰³ plaintiff made a motion for reargument of its motion to strike defenses after its time to appeal had expired. The appellate division, fourth department, relying on *In re Huie*,²⁰⁴ reversed special term's order which had granted plaintiff's motion. The holding of *Huie*, was reasserted. A motion to reargue cannot be used to extend the time to appeal; such a motion must be made before the time to appeal has elapsed.²⁰⁵

Allowing a reargument is within the discretion of the court,²⁰⁶ but it now appears, that the time limitation for appeal is also the limitation period for a motion to reargue. An appeal or motion to reargue will be granted after the time limit has expired only under the special circumstances treated in CPLR 5015, e.g., the discovery of new evidence, fraud, or lack of jurisdiction.

The practitioner is thus advised, if the situation warrants, to file his notice of appeal first, and then if he desires, he may move for reargument.²⁰⁷

²⁰² *Id.* at 605, 289 N.Y.S.2d at 684.

²⁰³ 29 App. Div. 2d 627, 286 N.Y.S.2d 287 (4th Dep't 1967).

²⁰⁴ 20 N.Y.2d 568, 232 N.E.2d 642, 285 N.Y.S.2d 610 (1967). See *The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice*, 43 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 140, 165 (1968).

²⁰⁵ See 2 CARMODY-WAIT 2d, CYCLOPEDIA OF NEW YORK PRACTICE §8:81 (1965).

²⁰⁶ *Ellis v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 198 Misc. 912, 102 N.Y.S.2d 337, 338 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. County 1951).

²⁰⁷ See 7B MCKINNEY'S CPLR 2221, supp. commentary 18 (1967).