

BCL § 307: Applicable in Quasi In Rem Situations

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview>

This Recent Development in New York Law is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in St. John's Law Review by an authorized editor of St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact selbyc@stjohns.edu.

allow costs. In an earlier case, *Kavares v. MVAIC*,²²⁰ where confirmation of an arbitration award was not opposed, it was held to be inequitable to allow court costs.

The *Terenzi* court relying on CPLR 8201 allowed \$25 court costs, the amount awarded in a special proceeding for proceedings before a note of issue is filed.

BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW

BCL § 307: Applicable in quasi in rem situation.

In 1965, Section 307(a) of the Business Corporation Law was amended to provide as follows:

In any case in which a non-domiciliary would be subject to the personal or other jurisdiction of the courts of this state under article three of the civil practice law and rules, a foreign corporation not authorized to do business in this state is subject to a like jurisdiction. In any case, process against such foreign corporation may be served upon the secretary of state as its agent. Such process may issue in any court in this state having jurisdiction of the subject matter.²²¹

In the first case found construing this section, *Petrossi v. Ontario Properties, Inc.*,²²² the supreme court, Monroe County, held that "other jurisdiction" means quasi in rem. In *Petrossi*, a mortgage foreclosure action, plaintiff claimed that his lien on property located in New York was preferred to defendant's. Service of process upon the defendant foreign corporation through substituted service upon the Secretary of State was held to be proper even though the corporation was not doing business in this state.

It is interesting to note that CPLR 314 permits service on a foreign corporation to cut off an interest in or lien upon property in this state. However, the use of BCL § 307 is preferable to CPLR 314, because under section 314 service of process without the state must be made by personal service upon the corporation.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS LAW

DRL § 211: Motion to dismiss for insufficiency deemed premature until termination of conciliation proceedings or expiration of 120 days.

DRL § 211 provides that a complaint in a divorce action may not be served until the expiration of 120 days from the date of service of the summons or until the expiration of conciliation proceedings.

²²⁰ 29 App. Div. 2d 68, 285 N.Y.S.2d 983 (1st Dep't 1967).

²²¹ N.Y. Sess. Laws 1965, ch. 803 (emphasis added).

²²² 55 Misc. 2d 601, 285 N.Y.S.2d 928 (Sup. Ct. Monroe County 1968).