

The Quarterly Survey of New York Practice Table of Contents

St. John's Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/lawreview>

This Recent Development in New York Law is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in St. John's Law Review by an authorized editor of St. John's Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact selbyc@stjohns.edu.

THE QUARTERLY SURVEY OF NEW YORK PRACTICE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Article 1—Short Title, Applicability and Definitions	
CPLR 103(c): Proceeding commenced in improper form will not be dismissed on that ground alone.	125
Article 2—Limitations of Time	
CPLR 202: Where cause of action accrues to non-domiciliary outside the state, New York borrowing statute applies.	126
CPLR 204(a): Statute of limitations no bar where stipulation with municipality forced plaintiff to delay commencement of action.	127
Article 3—Jurisdiction and Service, Appearance and Choice of Court	
CPLR 302(a)(2): Applicable where defendant is New York domiciliary when cause of action arises, but is non-domiciliary at time the action is commenced.	129
CPLR 308: Immunity from service of process extended to arbitration proceedings.	130
CPLR 308(3): Validity of service unaffected by defendant's failure to find affixed process.	130
CPLR 314(1): Limitation on service without the state.	131
CPLR 325(a): Lack of jurisdiction no bar to removal.	132
Article 4—Special Proceedings	
CPLR 403(c) and (d): Care required to fulfill court's specifications as to service of an order to show cause.	133

	PAGE
Article 5 — Venue	
CPLR 503(c): Corporation's office as filed with Secretary of State recognized proper for venue purposes.	135
Article 6 — Joinder of Claims, Consolidation and Severance	
CPLR 602: Consolidation of actions pending in different inferior courts refused by the supreme court.	135
Article 12 — Infants and Incompetents	
CPLR 1201: Plaintiff must establish defendant's inability to defend and nonfeasibility of instituting proceedings for the appointment of a committee before a guardian ad litem will be appointed.	137
Article 20 — Mistakes, Defects, Irregularities and Extensions of Time	
CPLR 2001: Action commenced solely in name of deceased person constitutes mere irregularity, subject to correction.	138
Article 30 — Remedies and Pleadings	
CPLR 3012(b): Action dismissed for failure to serve a complaint.	139
CPLR 3016(c): Bill of particulars not a satisfactory alternative for insufficient complaint.	141
CPLR 3026: Pleading saved where cause of action can be culled from complaint.	142
Article 31 — Disclosure	
CPLR 3101: Court sanctions disclosure under CPLR 3111 of an item apparently protected by CPLR 3101(d).	143
CPLR 3108 and 3109: Availability of written questions where non-party witness cannot be served with subpoena within state.	144
CPLR 3121: Apparent conflict between Rules of the Appellate Division, Second Department and CPLR 3121.	145
CPLR 3121(a): Movant need not prove his case on the merits in order to obtain physical examination of his adversary.	146

PAGE

Article 32—Accelerated Judgment

CPLR 3211(a)(4): Dismissal denied where pending action was instituted subsequent to the action sought to be dismissed. 146

CPLR 3213: Defects in moving papers. 147

Collateral Estoppel: Unavailable to party where issue in subsequent suit was similar but not identical to that previously determined. 148

Article 41—Trial by a Jury

CPLR 4102: Motion to frame issues for jury trial abolished. 151

Article 44—Trial Motions

CPLR 4402: Inadvertent reference to insurance in action involving automobile registered in New York not sufficient ground upon which to declare a mistrial. 152

Article 52—Enforcement of Money Judgments

CPLR 5201: Future income of a spendthrift trust held attachable. 153

CPLR 5201: Fund beyond judgment creditor's execution. 156

CPLR 5231: Personal Property Law Section 49-b limits income execution. 157

CPLR 5231(b): Drawing accounts subject to income execution. 159

Article 75—Arbitration

CPLR 7510: One-year statute of limitations runs from date arbitrators render final determination and not from date of original award. 160

Article 78—Proceeding Against Body or Officer

CPLR 7801: Supreme court issues order in nature of mandamus directing justice court to set a trial date. 162

Domestic Relations Law

Dom. Rel. Law §243: Motion for sequestration subsequent to separation action in which defendant has appeared held "a motion in the action." 163

THE QUARTERLY SURVEY OF NEW YORK PRACTICE

*Prepared by the St. John's Law Review Staff Under the
Direction of David D. Siegel †**

*Introduction ***

Commencing with the present issue, the *Survey* will be published as a quarterly. In the opinion of the Editorial Board, this will enhance its value to the practitioner since current cases of procedural significance will more speedily be brought to his attention. Moreover, the important CPLR amendments will be reported and discussed extensively in the October issue following their enactment by the Judicial Conference or the State Legislature.

† B.A., Brooklyn College; LL.B., St. John's University School of Law; LL.M., New York University School of Law; Associate Professor of Law, St. John's University School of Law; Assistant Counsel, Joint Legislative Committee on Court Reorganization; Draftsman, New York City Civil Court Act, Uniform District Court Act and Uniform City Court Act; CPLR Coordinator, Commission on Estates for Revision of Surrogate's Court Act; Consultant on Practice and Procedure, New York State Judicial Conference; Member, New York Bar.

* The views expressed herein are those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Director.

** The following abbreviations will be used uniformly throughout the *Survey*:

New York Civil Practice Law and Rules	CPLR
New York Civil Practice Act	CPA
New York Rules of Civil Practice	RCP
New York City Civil Court Act	CCA
Uniform District Court Act	UDCA
Uniform City Court Act	UCCA
Real Property Actions and Proceedings Law.....	RPAPL

Extremely valuable in understanding the CPLR are the five reports of the Advisory Committee on Practice and Procedure. They are contained in the following legislative documents and will be cited as follows:

1957 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 6(b).....	FIRST REP.
1958 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 13	SECOND REP.
1959 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 17	THIRD REP.
1960 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 20	FOURTH REP.
1961 FINAL REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE	FINAL REP.

Also valuable are the two joint reports of the Senate Finance and Assembly Ways and Means Committees:

1961 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 15	FIFTH REP.
1962 N.Y. LEG. DOC. NO. 8	SIXTH REP.

Since the last installment of the *Survey*, the appellate division, third department, has held that no jurisdictional "gap" exists in CPLR 302—a discussion of the controversy surrounding this problem is found under Article 3 of the *Survey*. The reader is also directed to a treatment of the doctrine of collateral estoppel listed under Article 32. Under Article 52, the reader will note that there have been significant developments affecting the income execution device.

The cases discussed in the *Survey* are those deemed to be of the most importance in the procedural area. Many other cases would be included, but limitations of space prevent the treatment of those less important but nevertheless significant cases. Although few cases are exhaustively discussed, it is hoped that the *Survey* accomplishes its basic purpose, viz., to key the practitioner to significant developments in the procedural law of New York.

The Table of Contents is designed to direct the reader to those specific areas of procedural law which may be of importance to him. The various sections of the CPLR which are specifically treated in the cases are listed under their respective articles.

ARTICLE 1—SHORT TITLE, APPLICABILITY AND DEFINITIONS

CPLR 103(c): Proceeding commenced in improper form will not be dismissed on that ground alone.

The petitioner, in *Mandis v. Gorski*,¹ initially brought an Article 78 proceeding to declare invalid a city ordinance which gave the city council power to allow variances in the heights of certain buildings. However, Article 78 proceedings are not available to attack an ordinance since an exercise of a legislative function may not be attacked through an Article 78 proceeding.²

Rather than dismiss the action merely for proceeding in the wrong form, the court availed itself of CPLR 103(c) which states that "if a court has obtained jurisdiction over the parties, a civil judicial proceeding shall not be dismissed solely because it is not brought in the proper form. . . ."³

This section, in addition, provides authorization for the court to make an order directing the action to proceed in the proper form without dismissing it.⁴ Thus, in the instant case, the court ordered the action to continue as if brought in the form of a declaratory judgment.

¹ 24 App. Div. 2d 181, 265 N.Y.S.2d 210 (2d Dep't 1965).

² *Neddo v. Schrade*, 270 N.Y. 97, 103, 200 N.E. 657, 659 (1936); *Paliotto v. Cohalan*, 6 App. Div. 2d 886, 177 N.Y.S.2d 553 (2d Dep't), *aff'd*, 8 N.Y.2d 1065, 170 N.E.2d 413, 207 N.Y.S.2d 281 (1960).

³ CPLR 103(c).

⁴ *Ibid.*; 7B MCKINNEY'S CPLR 103, commentary 13 (1963).