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conflict with *Frankel v. Berman*, a first department case. In *Frankel*, the court reversed a judgment against the third-party defendant who had appealed, but declined to disturb the judgment against the defendant in the main action, who had not appealed, even though the court found that the plaintiff had failed to establish a cause of action.

The CPLR, like its prior law counterpart, appears to support the determination of the *Rome* case by giving the third party defendant all the rights “of a party adverse to the other parties,” expressly including the right of appeal.

*Motion papers to substitute parties must be served on them in manner of summons.*

In *Lewis v. Lewis* the defendant died after commencement of the action. Plaintiff moved for an order, pursuant to CPLR 1015(a), to continue the action against the executrices of the estate. Defendant was a resident of Florida and the executrices were appointed in that state.

The court denied the motion, noting that service on the executrices must be given in the appropriate manner. The court stated that “if notice is given to a non-party to be substituted, it is served in the same way as a summons pursuant to Article 3 of the CPLR. . . .” The court cited as well CPLR 1921, which provides that a person may be made a party defendant if he does not voluntarily appear. The word “defendant” was a change in language from a prior draft which read “by service of a summons” and, as indicated in the Revisers’ notes, no change in meaning was intended.

The practitioner’s attention is called to the fact that, although the papers must be served as a summons, there is no need to start over. When a substitution is required, the action continues “in all respects as if the substituted party had been in the action from the beginning and all prior proceedings are valid and operative.” In other words, once substitution of the appropriate party is accomplished, the litigation continues from the point it had reached at the time the event requiring substitution took place.
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